



Gateway Feature & Community Center

Open Ended Responses

Questions 1-2

1. Which Community Center Design Draft did you like the most	2. What reasons led to your choice in the previous question?
Gable Roof	It is in keeping with the current design of the surrounding buildings.
Gable Roof	Matches the rest of the city complex best
Gable Roof	Fits more with city look, club, fire station
Gable Roof	This style is easier, less expensive to build/maintain. Blends with current adjacent buildings.
Gable Roof	More visually appealing
Gable Roof	Most cost efficient
Gable Roof	Less maintenance for gable roof buildings
Gable Roof	Gables is classic in design and more in keeping with the FOR community aesthetic. It should also cost less to build because of the less complicated roof lines and foot print. I would have the large back wall of windows redesigned to remove the upper angled windows, replacing those with small, simple, straight across the top transom windows. This change would not only save money, but would be more workable if light control window treatments are needed for a video/slide show.
Gable Roof	The Gable makes the building blend in with the other building on the campus. Definitely not the other two. Make sure it has rock on it and electrical outlets for lighting capabilities.
Gable Roof	The entrance seems the most inviting to me. Also, the East Elevation seems to show the most windows and therefore would have the most natural lighting.

Gable Roof	Clean architecture with modern updated lines!
Gable Roof	All generally look fine but the roof of the building on the left in the center drawings of the hip and monitor designs do not go with the rest of the design and looks like an add-on work shed.
Gable Roof	The all look good. No strong preference
Gable Roof	Roof lines
Gable Roof	It was the most appealing and welcoming.
Gable Roof	Like the appearance the best.
Gable Roof	Provides views-looks classy
Gable Roof	Most cost efficient. A brick with architecturally nice metal panel wainscot would also look nice on the walls.
Gable Roof	Seems to be what most houses have
Gable Roof	Fits the community hill country style best.
Gable Roof	My homework indicates the Gable Roof is both the less expensive and less complicated design, while providing needed protection from the elements. (Will easily shed the rain or infrequent snow). Also provides good ventiation and more attic space for storage if necessary. Gable Roof provides excellent protection and is less expensive. Also looks great.

Gable Roof	Less costly and demonstrates a simple FOR life-style.
Gable Roof	Looks closer in style to City hall and least expensive option.
Gable Roof	Smaller height profile. Would blend in better with current buildings
Gable Roof	I don't think we need a Community Center with ANY kind of roof!
Gable Roof	Cheapest solution both construction and maintenance.
Gable Roof	It's the cleanest of the designs. Keep it simple and functional.
Gable Roof	Least expensive. Not too ornate. More hill country look.
Gable Roof	Appearance. Without knowing costs, durability, materials, etc., I assume they are similar for each choice.
Gable Roof	Aesthetics
Gable Roof	It goes best with the other city buildings.
Gable Roof	Looks more like Fair Oaks.

Gable Roof	Ranch style and large window wall on the side.
Gable Roof	It is simpler and probably lower cost.
Gable Roof	The Gable Roof design is consistent with the current City buildings.
Gable Roof	More consistent with other buildings on-site.
Gable Roof	Fits the community vibe
Gable Roof	represents the image of the City's "ranch" name
Gable Roof	Simple, clean
Gable Roof	Aesthetically looks better.
Gable Roof	Fits neighborhood aesthetic better. Not really crazy about any of them
Gable Roof	Looks most "Ranch"
Gable Roof	Simpler. More streamlined.

Gable Roof	I dont like any of them because they only seat 200 at most. That is not enough for a wedding or graduation party yet alone a civic meeting. I picked the cheapest version, according to the presenter...no extras. Holding down the cost should be a priority.
Gable Roof	Natural light and interior grandeur. I really like the entrance way of the hip roof option - if we could add it to the gable option that would be idea for me. Grand entrance to a grand space.
Gable Roof	A more rustic "ranch" feel. Simple design & lower building cost.
Gable Roof	It is a beautiful exterior.
Gable Roof	The gable roof looks familiar and it in keeping with the other exteriors in that same complex.
Gable Roof	Roof blends in better with the other buildings in the City complex
Gable Roof	Looks like FOR
Gable Roof	Seems to blend well
Gable Roof	It allows more external natural light inside.
Gable Roof	Simple designs are less costly to build and maintain.
Gable Roof	It looks beautiful on the structure of the building

Gable Roof	typical to our community
Gable Roof	Matches existing buildings
Gable Roof	Looks more open and inviting
Gable Roof	Simplicity. Looks like the cheapest to build and the easiest to maintain going forward.
Gable Roof	Traditional and matches existing building style.
Gable Roof	Looks more like a Hill Country home than the other choices.
Gable Roof	The gable roof looks more inviting. The others have a harsher military appearance. The others are too modern for the hill country area.
Gable Roof	Simple.
Gable Roof	Looks most traditional and is in line with homes.
Gable Roof	Looks better
Gable Roof	Simple, lower maintenance

Gable Roof	Don't like little rectangle design on top. looks too oriental.
Gable Roof	Cheapest.
Gable Roof	More consistent with other buildings
Gable Roof	Not too commercial looking
Gable Roof	Appears to be the least expensive.
Gable Roof	It is more aesthetically pleasing to me.
Gable Roof	Esthetic reasons only
Gable Roof	simple, classic
Gable Roof	Prefer the most cost effective and cheapest to maintain.
Gable Roof	It is clean and simple
Gable Roof	Looks the nicest. Also the most modern.

Gable Roof	It appears to blend in better with the other buildings on the city campus.
Gable Roof	I don't care for the profile of the other two
Gable Roof	More open feel and natural light with the windows.
Gable Roof	Simpler looking.
Gable Roof	Seems more cost effecient
Gable Roof	we should keep the cost down.
Gable Roof	more ranch style and complements city hall complex
Gable Roof	I disliked all designs. None of the designs "represent the identity of the city". What does that even mean? These are all over designed.
Gable Roof	Aesthetics
Gable Roof	Windows
Gable Roof	The design fits in with our neighborhood.

Gable Roof	Symmetry and feeling of community (house-like)
Gable Roof	Similar to other buildings on city property.
Gable Roof	Simplicity of design.
Gable Roof	Clean look and expected lower construction cost
Gable Roof	Looks more traditional
Gable Roof	Open, visually appealing.
Gable Roof	Looks like rest of campus. Typical Hill Country Design.
Gable Roof	Most appealing, especially the large windows. The other two options will be more expensive
Gable Roof	Simple is better.
Gable Roof	It looks like a cleaner design and I like the feeling of looking upward when I view the drawings.
Gable Roof	It is less commercial looking

Gable Roof	style is more enduring (less likelihood of rapid obsolescence)
Gable Roof	The gable roof design coordinates best with the city hall and police station buildings: however, the long roof in the front view is too plain. It would help if the gable roof for the entry doors was more dominant and/or some dormers (as in the city hall building) could be added to break up the long roof line.
Gable Roof	More attractive, aesthetically.
Hip Roof	Fits neighborhood best
Hip Roof	Most in keeping with hill country architecture
Hip Roof	All ugly. This is the least ugly.
Hip Roof	Don't like flat roofs. Maintenance is expensive and leaks are frequent
Hip Roof	more modern
Hip Roof	Gable roof isnt as attractive as the other two.
Hip Roof	The look is more inviting and open, with good lines for the landscape. It is welcoming and inviting for those entering.
Hip Roof	More unique design

Hip Roof	Most pleasing to the eye
Hip Roof	I just like the entire look! Like the hi and all elevation sides!
Hip Roof	Best matches nearby structures with an upgraded touch.
Hip Roof	It looks more "country" or "ranch".
Hip Roof	Liked the way it looks
Hip Roof	First is just ok Second has style third is awful
Hip Roof	Overall esthetic reminds me of ranch house
Hip Roof	Design just seems more pleasing.
Hip Roof	The other two options were ugly.
Hip Roof	More modern looking.
Hip Roof	hip roof is more resistant to wind damage than gable roof

Hip Roof	I cannot see the designs so I just randomly picked one...
Hip Roof	Differentiate between the center and a gabled residence.
Hip Roof	Looks
Hip Roof	Clean looking but not plain as number one option.
Hip Roof	More visually appealing.
Hip Roof	More visibility appealing and looks like it would have more light
Hip Roof	Appears more "ranch style".
Hip Roof	All are great. Hip roof looks less industrial. North Elevation(facing Dietz) looks best.
Hip Roof	pleasing to the eye
Monitor	None, we don't need it
Monitor	That building will be the community heart of the citizens. We'll be proud of for 100 years! Do it right and the covered patios are a must have to add to use and enjoy the outdoors. The first building new and visiting citizens will see should be a powerful welcoming to FOR.

Monitor	Will allow more lighting during the day and is fits more with style of other buildings.
Monitor	An interesting elevation that combines both traditional and contemporary touches.
Monitor	Monitor has an upscale look for our City!Because FO is upscale and we want to attract nice good families.
Monitor	Fair Oaks really needs more water storage built to be able to be able to provide water to all the new homes without being on water restrictions all the time and not able to water plants and lawns
Monitor	Inviting and stands out as a modern facility with subtle elements of complexity and elegance.
Monitor	Gable roof looks dated, like something from the 60's or 70's. Monitor design is just a little more aesthetically pleasing. However, if it the cost is 20% or more than that of the Hip roof design, I'd be content with the Hip roof.
Monitor	Has more character and unique
Monitor	Just a more modern design
Monitor	It is the most interesting, modern, and appealing. The gable roof design looks very dated and old school.
Monitor	Modern yet hill country
Monitor	The monitor design is the most visually interesting compared to the others.

Monitor	Gable and hip roof look outdated.
Monitor	Gable roof option looked too plain on some sides. The entrance/foyer on the hip roof option looked more like an appendage that stuck out vs feeling cohesive with the building./ So that led me to the monitor option that had more visual interest and looked cohesive.
Monitor	entry
Monitor	Better curb appeal
Monitor	It is the most modern version with more windows.
Monitor	Interesting design. Overhead windows.
Monitor	Quit wasting taxpayer dollars
Monitor	Clear entrance, covered outside space, will allow light in wihtout too much sunshine.
Monitor	Monitor is a more interesting design.
Monitor	It is the only three you gave me. I prefer a tradition al hill country design.
Monitor	Most appealing elevation. Lends credence to the moderately upscale but not over the top identity that I feel our community portrays.

Monitor	I do not like any of these designs â€” they do not feature unique qualities that reflect the city. The options provide chopped-up looks, and the orientation of the structure and what it looks out towards is an odd choice. Fundamental to a design should be energy efficiency (since we will be paying for the upkeep and maintenance), utility / usability of the space, and functionality and baked-in features to allow for multiple options for use. This survey is flawed: option 4- none of the above.
Monitor	The design has more character; therefore, is appealing to the eye. â€” assuming all three have the same footprint with differing roof designs.
Monitor	The monitor appears to have a more high-end look. The gable looks like somebody's house from the 60s. The hip looks awkward.
Monitor	It looks more modern and updated.
Monitor	I think it looks the best
Monitor	Looks nicer
Monitor	Design appeals to me
Monitor	Looks more current.
Monitor	Above the entrance doors
Monitor	Most eye catching. Interesting from all sides.
Monitor	It is hard to tell, but it looks like this style fits F O R best.

Monitor	Fits with our hill country style
Monitor	
Monitor	I like the design.
Monitor	Least offensive not very ranch looking
Monitor	More pitch in roofline
Monitor	Rustic, eloquence
Monitor	Gable roof looks a little boring on the north and south sides, and hip roof just looks a bit strange. Monitor looks modern and very nice.
Monitor	I like the aesthetic look
Monitor	Roof
Monitor	I likes the aesthetics of the roof line. More interesting.
Monitor	Most aesthetically pleasing.

Monitor	None. Spending too much with no reason.
Monitor	It looks more inviting and has softer edges.
	None of these. The building is too modern and doesn't really represent what we want for Fair Oaks Ranch. The P&Z UDC has long section on Hill Country building design. Follow the UDC. These look like European Petrol Stations.
	Least cost option, unless you want to build a limestone/granite/sandstone multi-story building with turrets. Where will we find a "city square"?
	None. We DON'T need either!!!!
	I am not in favor of building this center. I have lived here for more than 30 years and our community has existed happily without it. I prefer the days of our former Mayor Boots whose priority was to keep our taxes low and did not try to cram unnecessary items down our throat.
	Do not build this
	There is No need to build a "community center" for 90% city use. Spend the money on our streets, striping, upgraded signage and needed infrastructure.
	Noone has explained the need for this boondogle project. How is going to improve the lives of our neoghbers?
	I think they're all ridiculous seeing that they drain towards the entrances.
	Choosing a design without knowing the cost of the choice is preposterous. The designer of this survey should be fired. The person who approved the survey should be fired. The city council should be embarrassed.

	This is a joke. We don't need this. What a waste of money.
	NONE OF THE ABOVE
	No opinion as I think this is a complete waste of taxpayer money. A water storage tank that has been discussed for 10-15 years should be built as it's a need. This is a luxury item.
	I would like to know why is FOR spending the money to make the Community Center.?
	Thanks to city government for hosting the Town Hall meeting for residents. Sorry that the City didn't survey residents prior to spending funds for design, consultation, etc. to determine if residents were interested in having such a facility & willing to spend their tax dollars for it. None of the above designs will work. The proposed square footage of these structures is way too large!
	We didn't move to the country to have you institute city taxes. We don't need a community center or the high taxes that go with it. Stop.
	None, we don't need a community center.
	Waste of taxpayer money and not a necessary expense
	none



Open Ended Responses

Questions 4-5

4. Which Community Center Design Draft did you like the least?	5. What reasons led to your choice in the previous question?
Gable Roof	Way too cheap looking. Reeks of budget cutting by the city to slap something up. Do it right, do it with style, do it right. The quality will be remembered long after price is forgotten.
Gable Roof	Flat and dull
Gable Roof	It looks outdated.
Gable Roof	Not a good look or fit in city center.
Gable Roof	Looks like low income housing.
Gable Roof	too plain and old fashioned looking.
Gable Roof	Fair Oaks really needs more water storage built to be able to be able to provide water to all the new homes without being on water restrictions all the time and not able to water plants and lawns
Gable Roof	Too basic and boring - just another building. Has too much window-walls that may become a heating and cooling cost issue in the future.
Gable Roof	Looks old and dated.
Gable Roof	To simple for the city
Gable Roof	Too plain
Gable Roof	As stated above, the gable roof looks old and out of date.
Gable Roof	old
Gable Roof	I think the other 2 designs are more attractive. The floor plan is fine.
Gable Roof	too dated

Gable Roof	appears to represent the way the City looks as an upscale, but "ranch" look
Gable Roof	The north view was too plain.
Gable Roof	too barn-ish
Gable Roof	Too plain and does not seem to represent the community of Fair Oaks.
Gable Roof	Too much like other buildings, City Hall and Police Department.
Gable Roof	Looks very plain to me.
Gable Roof	Not a lot of design features! Pretty generic!
Gable Roof	Gable roof looks dated
Gable Roof	Too old fashioned.
Gable Roof	Flat simple roof
Gable Roof	Too traditional. Too much glass.
Gable Roof	It doesn't reflect the hill country.
Gable Roof	Looked too plain and doesn't represent our city as well as the other options.
Gable Roof	Too plain-exhibits very little excitement in imagination or design.
Gable Roof	See prior answers.
Gable Roof	Too old fashioned.

Gable Roof	It looks very common and kind of cheaper.
Gable Roof	Not classy
Gable Roof	Looks too plain.
Gable Roof	Rather plain.
Gable Roof	I cannot choose. I really like them all and will not be disappointed with any of these.
Gable Roof	desparation
Gable Roof	It just doesn't seem to be hitting on all design cylinders.
Gable Roof	It was the ugliest.
Gable Roof	Looks older
Gable Roof	Appears to low of profile. Center should be tall. Tall windows with lots of light.
Gable Roof	Cheap
Gable Roof	Its boring and looks very outdated
Gable Roof	I didn't like the long straight roof line.
Gable Roof	Too institutional and commercial appearance.
Gable Roof	Plain design
Gable Roof	Seems plain

Gable Roof	Since back side faces Dietz Elkhorn, it is ??
Gable Roof	Looks like a horse barn.
Hip Roof	Monitor-a lot of extra cost for a little amount of natural light.
Hip Roof	The design appeared to be the least interesting and most commercial-looking.
Hip Roof	Roof line
Hip Roof	Doesn't seem to blend well
Hip Roof	Does not fit community style.
Hip Roof	More complicated and more expensive design which is not needed to achieve our roof look and purpose. Homework indicates the Hip Roof would be 35 to 40 percent more expensive which might be justifiable in climates of extreme winds such as hurricane or tornado prone areas. Not needed or justified for us.
Hip Roof	Pretentious.
Hip Roof	Don't think we need a Community Center in Fair Oaks Ranch
Hip Roof	Entry area.
Hip Roof	Too modern
Hip Roof	Looks like a school
Hip Roof	There was just something about it
Hip Roof	A bit to modern
Hip Roof	Not happening

Hip Roof	Nice, but too modern for community.
Hip Roof	I prefer the rustic look.
Hip Roof	Don't like
Hip Roof	Any option that wastes taxpayer dollars
Hip Roof	Just didn't like it
Hip Roof	Actually I didn't like the other two .
Hip Roof	Don't like the entry design.
Hip Roof	Too closed off
Hip Roof	It feels too modern and unbalanced
Hip Roof	See response above. These are suboptimal choices and designs. I'm surprised that this was all that was available to share at the town hall and that this was deemed the best available information to share and receive feedback from city residents.
Hip Roof	Just do not like it
Hip Roof	Looks dark and closed in.
Hip Roof	Looks like noting in FOR
Hip Roof	Not appealing.
Hip Roof	Too modern.
Hip Roof	Glass Box entry looks out of place/odd

Hip Roof	Roofline entryway
Hip Roof	Strange roof
Hip Roof	Marginal difference.
Hip Roof	entrance looks like a bus stop
Hip Roof	did not like style
Hip Roof	personal preference
Hip Roof	The hip roof design does not coordinate with the city hall and police station buildings.
Monitor	Don't need it.
Monitor	too modern
Monitor	More expensive and too modern for the design of the Ranch
Monitor	Does to fit
Monitor	Complicated roof line adds to cost and drainage issues.
Monitor	Does not fit the aesthetic of the rest of the city and does not mesh well with the other buildings on the city campus.
Monitor	It is gratuitously busy in design. I also feel the design will be dated quickly and will drive up the cost of construction unnecessarily.
Monitor	It looks horrible!
Monitor	Looks pretty conventional for the area.

Monitor	For the same reasons listed above.
Monitor	No particular reason
Monitor	Just didn't speak Fair Oaks Ranch to me.
Monitor	Too chopped up looking.
Monitor	Looks bulky
Monitor	A lot of added costs come with a hipped roof, many roof lines, and a clerestory with little benefit from natural light.
Monitor	Too busy. Doesn't appear to be a structure found in the Hill Country.
Monitor	Too plain. But do we really need a community center?.
Monitor	Expense and maintenance
Monitor	The design is over done. And we don't need to incur the extra expense of an overly designed facility. Keep it simple and functional.
Monitor	Too expensive. Too busy. Keep it simple.
Monitor	None of the choices make me think, "Fair Oaks Ranch ". From the small picture provided, the Monitor choice nor the Hip choice look interesting.
Monitor	Again aesthetics
Monitor	Don't like it.
Monitor	Too elaborate and not as clean a design
Monitor	It doesnt fit in

Monitor	Least like the other buildings on-site.
Monitor	Too plain. Not appealing at all.
Monitor	It is the most expensive. Holding down the cost should be a priority. We don't need to spend an arm and a leg for appearances. Make it more functional. It is too small only seating 200 and no efficient parking.
Monitor	Too much goi by on, appears to have little natural light.
Monitor	Don't know, it is not appealing to me.
Monitor	Too modern looking for the "ranch" motif
Monitor	Looks more like a residential building.
Monitor	Some designs have a need for more maintenance. Those costs are never going to go down.
Monitor	does not fit in
Monitor	Hip Roof and Monitor look alike. I don't think either of them look welcoming. They look too modern.
Monitor	Over the top.
Monitor	The front elevation is not welcoming.
Monitor	A hill country look with limestone and a porch would represent the area better.
Monitor	see above
Monitor	To modern.
Monitor	Monitors echo

Monitor	Not hillcountry
Monitor	too complex
Monitor	Don't like little rectangle design on top. looks too oriental.
Monitor	Too expensive
Monitor	Ugly
Monitor	Too commercial
Monitor	Appears to be the most expensive.
Monitor	Both the hip roof and monitor roof are rather ugly.
Monitor	Seems too elaborate & modern.
Monitor	too much going on
Monitor	Closed design
Monitor	design is over done.
Monitor	None of the designs "represent the identity of the city". What does that even mean?
Monitor	personal preference, nothing more
Monitor	Aesthetics
Monitor	I cannot see the designs so I just randomly picked one...

Monitor	More complicated to view
Monitor	I don't care for the rooflines.
Monitor	It didn't fit with the City premises. Lack of symmetry.
Monitor	Too complicated and aesthetically unpleasant.
Monitor	Design will not age as well as others.
Monitor	Looks choppy with no hill country charm
Monitor	Looks
Monitor	Looks weird
Monitor	Too modern
Monitor	Awkward appearance
Monitor	Seems too urban.
Monitor	Too much for FOR
Monitor	The roofline looks choppy to me
	See Above
	Least cost option, unless you want to build a limestone/granite/sandstone multi-story building with turrets. Where will we find a "city square?"
	Don't want it or need it!!!!

	Do not build this
	All of them should be canceled..
	FOR is a quiet rural town of lovely neighborhoods, not the Dominion.
	Like any of them
	NONE
	Any proposed structure should be designed like the existing structures on City Hall property.
	We didn't move to the country to have you institute city taxes. We don't need a community center or the high taxes that go with it. Stop.
	None, we don't need a community center.
	None spending too much
	Waste of taxpayer money and not a necessary expense



Open Ended Responses

Questions 6-7

6. Would you like to see more city-sponsored community events at the Community Center location?	7. If yes, what events would you like to see?
No	Not necessarily. This could be a place that residents/HOA's could reserve for their events.
No	None. Didn't we just build a civic center that you claimed could hold citizen meetings.
No	Do not build this
No	We don't have any now, and there IS NOT any way to have adequate parking without causing congestion!!
No	Fair Oaks really needs more water storage built to be able to be able to provide water to all the new homes without being on water restrictions all the time and not able to water plants and lawns
No	More events would take more administration and management time.
No	Nothing, no center
No	no
No	If the answer to this question hasn't already been established, then maybe we don't need a community center at all. Use the money on something else.
No	I wish we weren't building the community center. I don't know why we need it.
No	I would like to see Fair Oaks save the money and repave Dietz.

No	You can only seat 200 people so you can't hold large events there and the parking will be horrendous. People won't attend. U had a large turnout tonight and parking was good.
No	Taxes goin down and a reduction of the box houses being built 10 ft apart.
No	Nothing. I don't understand the purpose of the project at all. Is it so city leaders can have a place to meet? If that is the reason, it seems self-serving and a waste of our money.
No	Why didn't you ask for comments if we checked "no"?
No	there has been no events as we do not have a community center..so not sure what you mean by MORE. Events I foresee are meetings, townhalls, receptions, etc.
No	We didn't move to the country to have you institute city taxes. We don't need a community center or the high taxes that go with it. Stop.
No	We're not sure the purpose of the community center but weâ€™d like it to have a workout facilities for residents. So we'd prefer that over more community events.
No	None, we don't need a community center.
No	I don't see the need for a Community Center.
No	Civic and non-profits using the building.
No	None spending too much
No	Not enough parking for city wide events.

Yes	Holiday events HOA meetings
Yes	Holiday events
Yes	Of course more events for our citizens. Remember that for citizens only, our guests, our functions, our pride, we citizens built this city and we can be proud to call it our own & and not turn it into 90% civic group meetings! Let citizens use this!
Yes	Variety
Yes	Family Movie Night Holiday programs
Yes	Education programs-gardening, wild life education, oak wilt, language classes Provide space for Womens cards-bridge, canasta, and other games Provide Space for FORHA, unit HOA meetings, its annual meeting, etc Civic affairs-voting, election classes
Yes	Besides meetings, including HOA meetings and civic organizationmeetings, more social type activities for different age groups.
Yes	Presentations, Social Gatherings, (Ice Cream Social?)
Yes	Absolutel. It should be the heart and soul of the City.
Yes	More City functions--meetings.
Yes	Senior events

Yes	Easter egg hunt. Santa/Easter bunny visit/family photo op choice. Sub division citywide garage sales, craft shows for residents hosted at the facility, hayrides in the fall/halloween. Family reunion facility.
Yes	Activities for toddlers 1-5.
Yes	If you're going to spend tax dollars on it it needs to be used
Yes	Holiday events and children elder activities would be nice for the residents.
Yes	Periodic events to promote Texas culture and resident sub-cultures. Must be fun, inviting, promote comradery and open to all ages (exclusive or inclusive). Examples include shindigs, dance events of all genres, movie nights, community safety updates.
Yes	Is there going to be room and parking for community events? My biggest concern with the location is there isn't much parking. The design also doesn't appear to have much green space. The city would be better off using one of the parks or the club f
Yes	Public awareness events
Yes	all city council meetings, town halls and social events
Yes	I'm just happy that voting might actually be in the same place every time. Like many government agencies, it feels like the city is trying to make voting more difficult by moving it constantly. I like the idea that it might stay in this same place.
Yes	Events to bring neighbors together, especially ones not just focused on young families, but also empty nesters.
Yes	Meetings now held offsite at Spring Creek. Have a master gardener come and talk to interested residents about plantings based on climate and fauna. Meet the FORPD for residents to discuss crime and safety.

Yes	Speakers on interesting topics. Some on the history of Fair Oaks Ranch so it isn't forgotten.
Yes	Any and all city sponsored events. But where is the parking?
Yes	Family friendly crafts or some holiday theme events
Yes	Town Hall Meetings Educational Forums Swap Meets Fundraisers
Yes	meetings, and other events
Yes	All the events that the golf club has done in coordination. All the City Council "year in summary" . . .
Yes	Would love to see events for families with young kids.
Yes	Exercise classes, crafting groups, kid related activities monthly socials
Yes	Events that include everyone other than the country club residents of Deer Meadow residents.
Yes	Any that can be contained in the center.

Yes	Informational meetings. Landscape. Deer control
Yes	I think we will see plenty.
Yes	Holiday Celebrations Skill Development Exercise Classes Walkathons
Yes	Beyond informational, small community related programs, even things like CPR training.
Yes	All city events should be held in the Community Center
Yes	Theatre, musical productions! Holiday functions! Newcomer events!
Yes	The State of the City gathering The FORPD toy driver
Yes	Lectures with topics covering local history, quality of life subjects, public safety and environmental stewardship.
Yes	BBQ outdoor events. July 4 celebration. Farmers Market. Christmas Market. Voting. Children's reading hour. Girl Scout meetings. Community education lectures. Ice cream social. Grieving group meetings. Fair Oaks Ranch Day (a new yearly tradition to
Yes	State of the City Community Award Ceremonies for the employees Special City anniversaries.

Yes	Something for the teens in the neighborhood.
Yes	Available for groups to meet at their desire. Gatherings of HOA Board members from HOAs around the city. New clubs to be able to use it. scheduled time for parents/preschool kids to come together socially.
Yes	anything
Yes	Those mentioned this evening.
Yes	Health seminars, estate/financial planning, home safety information
Yes	General interest topics: safety, rain water catchment, sustainable landscaping
Yes	State of the city meetings.
Yes	Are shows and children's events.
Yes	Parties--covered disk events, wine tastings.
Yes	Ladies club and other like events.
Yes	Concerts

Yes	Exercise, yoga, dance classes for seniors.
Yes	Holiday events.
Yes	Boy Scouts Girl Scouts, or any civic type meetings within our community
Yes	What was suggested in the presentation? If it
Yes	Educational topics of the day, history of Fair Oaks
Yes	FORHA activities.
Yes	FORHA meetings
Yes	Educational events such as environmental education, history of FOR and hill country, local artisan events.
Yes	Town Halls, presentations by department heads, private meetings and celebrations
Yes	All of the Annual Parties, Town Hall meetings, and all Committee and City Council meetings can be there.
Yes	Christmas party (Santa) National night out
Yes	Will we still have to use Spring Creek methodist for town halls?

Yes	Santa visit, easter bunny
Yes	something social for middle schoolers and high-schoolers to do that would get them off their devices and interacting with each other. Game nights, like trivia night or finish the lyrics. That could work for the various age groups as well. Each era
Yes	Events that we do at churches and the country club.
Yes	Anything family-related. I'd love to see a 4th of July parade/golf cart decorating contest.
Yes	Seminars, training,
Yes	Why have a community center if you are not going to hold city-sponsored events there.
Yes	HOA meetings
Yes	The ONLY events at the "community center" should be town halls, meet the candidates, or PRESSING city business presentations to residents. We do NOT need or benefit from "social" events. That is not what we pay taxes for.
Yes	Music
Yes	Oak Wilt information sessions with Oak Wilt vendors. Kids events.
Yes	Veterans Emergency Backup

Yes	Events that build fellowship/relationships.
Yes	Town Halls, Council Meetings, FORHA meetings, Meet the Candidates
Yes	Clubs and interest groups
Yes	Just max use.
Yes	HOA annual meetings Service club meetings Oak wilt education Birding presentations
Yes	I really don't have much context to offer here since I have not attended any events. But I suppose for the community more is better? Sorry I don't have more to offer here
Yes	Visits with Santa Educational sessions about City wildlife lectures
Yes	I don't know what is done now, would like to get notified of what's going on. Would be interested in family events (sports day, easter egg hunts, fourth of July parades, etc.).
Yes	Parades

Yes	Voting location and precinct convention Political forums. Meet and greets. City townhall /meetings City fundraisers Boy Scout events Baby/ bridal showers Small receptions, business meetings Events that need more space than a private residence
Yes	Holiday events , homeowners meeting and town meetings.
Yes	Different group gathers (parents, retired, etc.).
Yes	Educational speakers: historical and cultural presentations
Yes	Events dealing with oak wilt, safety, crime prevention, landscaping, water catchment and social events like getting to know your neighbors and the 4th of July eventâ€”it was outstanding.
Yes	blood drives, Fair oaks different sections HOA meetings, Boy Scout/ Girl Scout meetings, local club meetings. Free library
Yes	Combination social and educational Depending on capacity, maybe private family events
Yes	Garden Club
	I did not know we had a community center and was not aware of any city-sponsored community center events.

	The original Community Center contract signed 25 September 2020 (by me) states in Article IV (in part): "The purpose of the project is to create a welcoming gathering space for private and public events." It must be user friendly to all residents.
	I really don't see why we need it - especially since the cost estimates have climbed to \$2 million or more by the time it would be built.
	Again, answering this question without knowing the cost of hosting events is worthless.
	Unclear question. More than what?
	Do not believe that FOR needs a Community Center (nor a Civic Center). FOR already has a "community center" and it's called the Fair Oaks Country Club. The Club has ample facilities and parking to host events for FOR residents and non-residents.
	unsure - would have to think about it
	Waste of taxpayer money and not a necessary expense



Open Ended Responses

Question 9

9. How do you believe Community Center will impact the community?

The Civic center is necessary for existing needs. There will be even more uses in the future--many we can not imagine at present time.

Cost too much. Too much money to run such a small city.

with all the surrounding growth we need to keep to TAX paying citizens only.

Will avoid having to look for a place to hold large events off the Ranch

If used properly could engage more citizens

I think it will be crowded.

Only if done right, a huge iconic unique community center that the city residents can use. We pay taxes we love FOR ranch do not put citizens private gatherings at the back of the line. We should have top priority. Period.

Pay premium over residents

This has the potential to have a major negative impact on our neighborhood Chartwell (see below). Weâ€™d like to know how the city leaders have planned for parking when civic center events take place.

offers a place for residents and local organizations to hold meetings, gatherings, etc

Maybe an outdoor amphitheater for concerts?

Not at all!!

Negatively. Would rather have lower taxes and less projects from the city.

It will bring the community together by offering activities, events and helps with offering a place to have a gathering, and not going out of the city or asking other facilities to help.

Will provide a well-designed, welcoming and sizable gathering place for a variety of activities.

Do not build this

Nonresidents, on a space available, and only on short notice. Residents should have first option.

I can't imagine a community center having any significant community impact.

In the current civic/political climate, it would be impossible to in any way limit access to any specific non-resident group. As a result, the possibility of undesirable groups or individuals accessing the community is objectionable. Access should be limited to residents.

Too expensive. Takes tax money away from other needed infrastructure needs. We don't need a community center.

Bring Community together. Meet the neighbors.

Great for the City's identity. A natural gathering spot

It will bring the community together so we can meet neighbors and feel more inclusive.

Enhance the sense of place and belonging.

extra cost with no benefit.

Negative!! Seeing it will be nothing but a drain on the tax payers for initial, RIDICULOUS, cost and then upkeep.

Hugely! Not all residents want to join the clubhouse, pool, golf or tennis facility. Too expensive!

Hopefully in a positive way. If rented to non-residents, they should be charged more, vetted well and have strict guidelines and security.

A resource to enjoy events.

Not sure... would hope it would bring neighbors together for fellowship

Positively. Could rent to hold large family events etc.

Fair Oaks really needs more water storage built to be able to be able to provide water to all the new homes without being on water restrictions all the time and not able to water plants and lawns

Non residents should NOT be allowed to rent space, but should be fully allowed and welcome to attend as guests of Fair Oaks Ranch residents or officials.

If used properly, it will:

- increase our residents' sense of belonging
- build trust in our neighbors and city leaders to continue to keep us all safe
- build a thriving community of friendly and inviting neighbors

Very little. We should continue to use local churches and FORG&CC for our minimal community activities.

I think it will be beneficial for come and go events like voting and large group events like town hall meetings. But I am disappointed that small group meeting rooms are not in the design. Seems like a lot of room was dedicated to a large dias for city council instead.

Overall the building seems like an extension of City Hall for council use. Not so much for the "community" to use.

Income generator, however, limit the percentage of non-resident events so they don't fill up the calendar and prevent availability to residents.

Unnecessary expense. I'm sure the need could be met by local school buildings or churches.

Would be OK for residents.

We have a country club for that purpose.

Will take pressure off the country club for group events.

Positively - showcases our city and also provides some revenue.

Little to none.

central meeting place

Not much. Meeting space is available elsewhere in the community.

Not sure. This survey doesn't indicate the location of this community center. Is there sufficient parking? Will it disrupt homes near it? Will noise levels be managed? Will it take away from our parks?

More meeting space for City Council, elections, private entities that will rent the space and be a source of funding for the city, FORHA committee meetings...

It will be a great gathering place for our community.

More resident involved should lead to more community spirit.
People getting to know more people.
A better sense of community

Offers a modern, usable space for larger events

I think we can live without it
It can help bind us as a community. Give us a "social" forum
I have no idea.
Additional income
It can bring people together. It could help the local citizens by providing a meeting place for people. It provides infrastructure for the city also. If you can make this something that enhances the community thru different works. It could also be a great meeting place for emergency situations or weather issues like flooding and we can provide essentials out of it.
have a positive inclusive impact
I haven't seen enough from city government expressing their ideas to consider the impacts in the short time from receiving this email to completing this survey.
Unsure
If it is used for more than just meetings, I think it could be a great opportunity to create even more social connections in our community through special events.
It would allow people in the community to get to know one another.

Feel more like a city than just a "subdivision"

Better Community facilities

The center could be rented out for revenue with stringent rules and someone in charge of rentals. Family reunions come to mind.

It will provide a centralized space for community-sponsored gatherings.

A nice option for residents to have private events. Cost to rent needs to be slightly less than comparable private venues. Make it a special benefit for residents.

It will be an albatross on the tax payers and not used much because it is too small. Small groups can use it as can the City govt and FORHA so this is being built for government and FORHA,etc. small groups not large citizen events.this will provide expensive office and meeting space.

Give us a terrific new venue of course.

Help citizens get to know one another & build a sense of community.

It will be good for residents to have a meeting and gathering space.

With the addition of events, it would bring the community together more often so that we are able to get to know each other. It will also give everyone an opportunity to get to know the people of our community

A nice meeting space for small groups, like HOA groups, and other events that are open to a wider group.

Better place to hold events like town halls without having to locate an off site venue

It won't

Great way to meet others who are not members of the C.C. Should be a very positive addition! We had them in Florida! Very active venue..

This projects all about showing how important FOR is to the general public and will never be used enough to warrant the excessive cost. Additionally it will only impact an already jammed traffic for the Chartwell sub-division. Even when the school has events Chartwell residents have a difficult time getting in and out, and that doesn't even consider the cars parking in front of the house and the people trapping through the yards and leaving trash as well as blocking Mail Boxes.

Not sure, just feel funds are not being used appropriately... tax dollars should be spent on real bed ah taxes should be lowered

I don't believe the community center will have any impact on our community. We already have a country club that can provide space for meetings and activities. I believe the community center could have a negative impact on the community, depending upon the restrictions imposed for its use. to be honest, I'm wondering why we are building a community center. (I missed the recent Townhall because I had a conflicting obligation. I assume the reason for this proposed construction was discussed there.)

Great venue to meet your neighbors and build relationships.

It will give a place for people to meet.

Hopefully bring us together

It will have the city officers in one location.

More debt and waste of tax payer dollars

I think it will impact it positively because it can those who live in the area to get to know one another.

I would hope it can be used to bring FOR residents together and generate more of a community feel.

It is ours

Hopefully it will be a centerpiece for the City.

It should be a place that can be rented for events, used as a "warming station" in case of emergency, offer space for non profits to host events

Higher taxes!

And regarding renting to non-residents, there are already more than enough non-residents crowding our FORHOA parks; we don't need to introduce the area to more non-residents..

More option for community gatherings. Opportunity for income from rentals.

Seems to be extravagant.

It will not

I think that it can be utilized for anything from youth to senior activities and help to bring folks together.

Increase the ability of the members to interact more.

Depends how it is operated. Suppose it will have a booking agent.

A well designed and thought out community center could provide a focal point for gathering for city functions as well as for city residents to utilize for meetings and functions and events. A bad design that is not forward looking and inefficient can lead to costly upkeep (utilities) and maintenance, increased traffic for events/functions, and parking congestion in the very limited footprint available for the structure.

If done properly, it should unite/ bond our fair city-no pun intended.

It is hard to believe that we have so many residents and no dedicated place for large meetings. We have to borrow space from churches. We can have a place of identity.

Believe the current proposal presented by City government will not be a benefit to FOR residents and could quickly become a money pit! (price has already increased substantially in the past few years). Many residents have not previously responded to the idea of a new structure on City Hall property over the years - hoping that common sense would prevail & the City government would eventually drop the issue. Unfortunately, that has not occurred.

not sure on that one.

Not sure at this time.

Accessible to all

Positively, a coming together place

We should have a large space without using the police department or churches.

Hopefully bring community together

waste of money

Hopefully it will promote more community involvement and interaction,

Very little

I think having a large event area will allow local events to foster sense of community. If non-residents rent space for events, it should be lower priority than residents, AND a with a significant surcharge.

It will increase our taxes.

It's been a needed facility for a long time

It will give us meeting space of our own and I believe residents would love to lease it out for private events.

I don't see any significant impact.

What's the purpose of the prior question? Are we such a "special", exclusive city that non-residents are not welcome? Is their money not good enough to rent the otherwise empty building? As to impact on the community - the Community Center as currently proposed (size) will be a money-pit drain on the taxpayers.

Hope it gets people out of their homes and subdivisions and interacting with people from other parts of the ranch. Create a social outlet for residents.

My perspective, not much

Not really. I don't think most residents care about it.

Voting etc will great!

++. More family gatherings, city sponsored events, etc.

It depends on what events are held there.

afford a meeting location.

There will be little benefit to the community for this project. A "community center" paid for by the residents should be restricted to and for the residents. Outside parties should NOT be able to rent the space. It is a liability for the city and the residents but does not directly benefit the residents. It should NEVER be viewed as a way to bring in dollars to the city. Residents do not want a community center because it would be "nice". It is an extravagance we do not need.

We didn't move to the country to have you institute city taxes. We don't need a community center or the high taxes that go with it. Stop.

On the question with non-resident use, can charge a premium for non residents. Assist with maintenance and cost.

as an alternative space to the country club

Provide a location/venue for city but ALSO resident rental

Connections

It will provide a space for families to host parties, Scouts to meet and so much more.

A great common place for residents to come together.

It would be nice to have a community gather

Positive impact enabling citizens to gather. Also, the City Council needs a permanent space for meetings.

Allow large city counsel meetings and town halls to be moved from the church to the community center.

It would be great to hold small events there if the cost wasn't too high.

It will provide the city with a space to hold larger community meetings.

Promote interaction with each other especially for those who don't belong to the country club

A consistent venue for community engagement.

Positively

We have needed a facility like this for years. Our lo churches have been very generous with providing space but that presents scheduling challenges which will grow as we grow.

None, we don't need a community center.

It will satisfy many residents by bringing voting back to the city without impacting our police dept.
FORHA might look at expanding some fun activities on the city campus. The other neighborhood HOAs could plan annual parties/ meetings.

It becomes another source of income for FOR

Very little impact.

It will serve a needed space for meetings with enough area to accommodate requirements of homeowners groups.

Gathering space is scarce, so the Center will provide a needed place for worthwhile groups.

Extra revenue from non-residents will help mitigate costs but residents should be able to reserve further out and have priority.

None spending too much

More local events to promote community cohesiveness

Do not believe a community center is needed.

Not much.

I hope it would get more RESIDENTS involved in the city and with their neighbors.

draw community closer together

As long as there is a fee (& formal agreement) to cover clean-up & maintenance. Fees should be calculated with the understanding that more use (even if it resulted in a little extra revenue), would result in more rapid depreciation of the property & the potential for requiring maintenance & repairs sooner than otherwise.

Hopefully there will be educational workshops, oak wilt, HOA meetings etc.

Waste of taxpayer money and not a necessary expense

waste of money

-It would eliminate the need to have community meetings at locations such as churches, but since these don't happen often perhaps it is more cost effective to keep having them at other locations.

Good resource for Public and Private gatherings.

Should bring community together.



Open Ended Responses

Question 10

10. Do you have any additional comments or suggestions regarding the Community Center that you would like to share?

We don't need it. Already too much for the park. Stop spending

How is this being paid for?

Do the right thing!! This is for citizens uses. Not every civic or church group gathering.

Where will guests park their vehicles since there are only a few spaces available? This is an issue since the only area to park, when school is in session, is a narrow residential street or in the grass alongside Dietz elkhorn. Chartwell circle has only one street used as both entrance and exit to owner properties. Already, when school events take place, parents park on both sides of chartwell thus leaving a one way path in middle of street frequently blocking mailboxes, delivery and driveways.

should only be available to fair oaks ranch taxpayers and city functions

Don't WASTE the tax money!!!!

Scrap this project and the gateway and save taxes and also future taxes which will be spent on upkeep of center.

Elect new officials who will be fiscally conservative and not spend, spend spend!

Need to make sure that there's enough parking-work with BISD to partner with FOR since the district uses our parking lots constantly. (handicap places need to be at least-6). Need to have some nice benches around the outside of the building. Make sure there are enough outside electrical outlets for lighting for decorations and water connections to water plants.

Please allow catering at the facility. Make sure it's easy for the caterer to get in and out easily.

Do not build this

Is the square footage large enough for several different meetings, all of a smaller size...meaning can the main room be blocked into quarters? Sound proofing?

Is the kitchen sufficient for caterers, or is it just a warming oven, which would not be desirable.

Cancel the Spend on a community center. Not needed....but I know that you are going to build it regardless.

Perhaps include a small display in one corner of the building telling the history of the area, the ranch, and the Ralph Fair family.

The sooner its built the better

No-just like the monitor style.

Please consider emergency backup so residents have a warming center, phone chargers, postal box, and ev charging station. We were very lucky to get a CPS truck last time. Next time may be more difficult.

Spend the money on fixing the parks and trails.

Seems the, leadership??, has already spent more than the original estimate on architects and studies and still have no solution for PARKING.
As a former contractor, this would NEVER be approved in any of the areas I was involved for lack of parking, intended use, green space, tree removal, and drainage.
To me it seems like a play room for city council and a waist of taxpayer funds!!!

Do not charge excessive rates to use.

If rented to non-residents, they should be charged more, vetted well and have strict guidelines and security.

Not in favor of having one at all. I think there are more important things out taxes should be spent on. I think there's too much staff, taxes are too high, etc. We're a golf course community not a big city and can use the country club, churches and elementary school and current parks for gathering

Fair Oaks really needs more water storage built to be able to be able to provide water to all the new homes without being on water restrictions all the time and not able to water plants and lawns

The Commmity Center is a needed and durable addition to our City. I fully support the project but need to emphasize the purpose is for a welcoming environment open to all residents to use and enjoy. It is also a meeting location for local oranizations and The City, but it is not an annex of City Hall. All management policies should be user friendly to all residents of Fair Oaks Ranch in the spirit of a true "Community Center."

DO NOT make it an expensive endeavor for our residents, i.e., increased HOA fees, new taxes, etc.

Not necessary for our community at this time.

Not enough parking! Won't be any better than space have now.

Cancel this expense and add the money to staffing a full time fire department or fire department.

Reduce the size and cost to what is needed for City business and limited private affairs. Primary use should be the Council and City meetings. The 200 capacity is too large. About 100 is more realistic. Side rooms could be used for overflow. A large room is not conducive to a friendly council meeting atmosphere for attendees. Interactions are important. The Council meetings are usually not well attended. 20 is a large number. Only potentially important or controversial topics bring out more.

Don't think we need one!!!!

Hopefully it's not expensive and results in city taxes increasing.

Let's keep the budget as absolutely modest as possible.

no

What's the point of putting a community center in a location where PARKING is already limited? Is there a well defined need for this project?

Don't see a need for this structure

Just hope that we will be fiscally responsible in the building process.

Keep it all simple.

Parking! more parking or rental for event wont be possible.

It needs a large turf or grass area to support indoor/outdoor events

Non-residents should have friends or family in FOR or have limited options. We like the split and slanted roofline for the entrance and office side of the monitor exterior. It would be nice to see if that can still be incorporated in the gable option.

An unnecessary expense.

There should be a vote by the residents before projects like this are approved. I have no idea why we need a community center and why we are spending tax dollars on it. Maybe reasons have been given, but I am not able to attend any meetings. Money could be spent on sending out better communication about these projects to the citizens so we understand the reasoning, and using modern tools to collect feedback and input. Surveys like this are great! Please do more of them.

Of course. Call me. I think this could be a great place to bring us all together in a world that is so segmented.

This could be a great addition to the community if it doesn't become another point of conflict among the community.

Yes, please save the money and repave Dietz.

Parking needs to be addressed. Safety of school children. Respect for our Chartwell neighbors.

Neede, but without SIGNIFICANTLY more parking it will be useless.

It is too small with inadequate parking. Scrap that location and build a larger facility on one of the parks. If you have to build one do it right! build this center on Boots park! More meeting space inside will accommodate large functions with adequate parking! And How is this going to be paid for? Will there be increase taxes?

I would like to see costs associated and some sort of line item breakdown so I can understand the cost.

Excited the City is working to make improvements.

Rent to non-residents if residents are not utilizing.

It would be ideal to be able to have the room divided for small groups to get together. Why does the space need a permanent dais?

No, but I do think it is necessary and a good idea.

Only concern would be parking. If the center can hold 200 people, where are they going to park? Parking is already a problem when there are events at the school. Parking on the streets should not be an option.

Would like to know how this is going to be paid for and what the tax implications will be.

Great idea!

There is NO Need for such a building for a community of 10,000 or fewer. The cost is an excessive expense of taxpayer funds and will never break even. A TOTAL WASTE OF MONEY. Only something for the Mayor and Council puff up their chest about.

Don't feel we need it.

If the city is going to build a community center, I hope it is on a large enough piece of property that will allow for expansion as the city grows.

Hire a manager that will coordinate ongoing activities and not allow the space to sit idle.

Barbecue kitchen outside.
Needs a larger kitchen inside.
Needs a full kitchen.

Would like it to not have a big impact on our local taxes. TX property taxes are HIGH enough .

Get control of your budget and quit hiring new people. We don't need a bloated government here.

I think suggest a pool being part of it, only because not everyone who lives in the area has a pool and is a part of the country club.

I said non-residents should not be able to use it. One exception would be if a company or other such entity wanted to use it for the benefit of the residents - give a presentation or an evening of entertainment.

No longer voting in police station

No

I guess I must have missed the part where someone decided that Fair Oaks Ranch NEEDED a community center? Rather than spending our money on ridiculous extravagances, how about maintaining what is already here? The fence line along dietz-elkhorn (across from the police/city buildings) is a decrepit eyesore.

Should it contain a fitness option?

The reason that I said non members shouldn't be able to rent the community center is that it might become over loaded with non members just like the Vestal Park. Maybe limit the use of the community center by non members. As a side bar I think car stickers should be issued to home owners and the use of the park monitored. There have been thefts and the parking is over loaded.

Would a group like Hill Country Newcomers be able to use or rent for an activity. 10% or more of membership is Fair Oaks

See my comments above. I am not in favor of any of these designs or options. I am hopeful that my comments will actually be received and taken into serious consideration as the city looks at next steps for this significant expenditure and hard asset for the city (and an objective review of the pros and cons and overall utility of the option ultimately chosen).

Good luck with this timely project.

As previously stated - FOR does NOT need nor want a Community Center. Seems City Council needs their own meeting space - so propose that a small structure be built on proposed site - say: 2000 square feet-give or take -for Council meetings, voting etc. and that more parking spaces be provided for such uses.

Reasonably pricing for FOR residents.

Not needed

Why do we need it?

I should have attended the meeting. But we do we need to be spending our tax dollars on this project?

We don't need a Community Center building.

Have limited kitchen facilities for food service by caterers, etc

With the apathy of newer generation, part of me is wondering why we need a new community center. I guess I was appalled at what I consider a small turnout for a City of 10,000

Make it smaller. We need enough space to replace the no longer existing Council Chambers, maybe just a bit larger. Nothing more. Anything larger is unnecessary and wasteful. Insufficient parking. Do just what we need, not what others (like FORHA) want for their own purposes.

Neg

How will this be paid for? If my taxes go up, don't bother building it.

Want to see a library drop off / pick up station.

Dir of Boerne Library has already agreed to handle transportation costs and effort if we provide the lockers and space.

Why build something with no parking to accommodate OK concrete island

The question is, what problem are you trying to solve by spending money on a community center? Is this the ONLY solution to resolve the problem? Why aren't these questions addressed first, before any money is spend on consultants and designs? We do not need this extravagance.

We didn't move to the country to have you institute city taxes. We don't need a community center or the high taxes that go with it. Stop.

No room for parking almost kills this venue. Can we use school parking?

Meeting place all inclusive.

None at this time.

You have GOT earnestly address the parking issue whether that be a different location or being innovative in finding more parking on campus. Inadequate parking will make a good idea not work well in execution. Talking to Chartwell residents, the school hosts numerous events that make it not a reliable overflow site. Please do as well on addressing the parking as you have on planning the Center.

The roof line is a bit plain and a couple of dormers at the second story level could be attractive also adding additional natural lighting to the large room.

No

Workout facilities please.

Build it and they will come

Please work out parking plan. BISD may help out with school parking lot. Also please be generous with handicap places. We have a lot of older folks still.

None, we don't need a community center.

The kitchen needs to have an oven, cooktop so that it lends itself to a variety of events. Otherwise it is basically a break room.

This project strikes me as an unnecessary waste of money.

No

The Center should be available to Residents and city tax payers first, but non-resident groups could be considered.

No

None spending too much. This is not needed in the community. Out of the scope of govt

Yall have spent over a million dollars and still have nothing to show for it. Government is not working properly in this case.

It's unacceptable and the council and staff should be embarrassed.

We don't even need this building to begin with. It's like a couple of the old timers just decided this was a good idea and let's spend money on something that doesn't benefit everyone.

All this survey shows me is that yall can't make decisions such has been shown already to even get to this point

Kitchen needs to be larger and more capable.

Parking is a concern unless school parking is available.

Consider adding fire pit and/or outdoor sitting area.

FOR residents should have priority usage over non-residents.

Audio/visual system needs to be included.

I believe a community center is a waste of taxpayer money. Itâ€™s not needed.

Keep it simple and low cost.

Because parking is an issue, easy access for walking, bicycles, etc.

no

With so many other venues available, it doesn't seem cost effective to building that will be unused 300 days a year.

Waste of taxpayer money and not a necessary expense

waste of money

Non-residents could be allowed to rent space as long as they are sponsored by a resident.

Parking will be a HUGE problem.

Will need to be marketed to residents so it is used.



Open Ended Responses

Questions 12-13

12. How do you feel about the proposed future locations for the Gateway Feature?

13. If you rated less than a 3 in the above question, can you tell us why?

1

Why are we spend spend spend?

1

Try replacing sign at FOR entrance off I10 that was removed years ago. Hum Deer Meadows has one

1

Too many. Why are we calling attention to FOR?? "FAIR OAKS PARKWAY" is already painted in very large letters over I-10. Most residents chose FOR because of it's quiet/private location. This only invites more crime.

1

City does not need a "gateway" Who are we trying to keep out with a "gate"?

1

Do not build this

1	The map is so small that the labels cannot be read and the location (s) of the proposed gateway feature cannot be determined. Regardless, I cannot see any real benefit to spending money on a gateway feature, especially when there are multiple Fair Oaks entry points. Further more, since the Fair Oaks bureaucracy has seen fit to allow multiple high-density developments with separate names and gateways, the introduction of one more gateway feature would only further the confusion.
1	This is driven by the Fairs. We don't need a gateway entrance. we need improved streets. Cows & horses ? This will become fun for kids to vandalize. You have been trying to remove real horses and cows away from public view.
1	They add no value to the city and increase costs.
1	I don't think it's proper use of tax dollars
1	Too many locations. The gateway to the city is the I-10 and Fair Oaks Parkway.
1	Too many! More than two would not be needed.

1	Too many locations. Limit it to three.
1	I don't think it is needed, and now there will be a cost to maintain it.
1	Locations other than the parkway a waste of money
1	It's not obvious where the gateway is located, and the map is too small to see if it even shows it there. I thought it was going to be right as you turn onto Fair Oaks Parkway, but there's not a wide enough area to put it there. The cow is a definite NO! Leave off the words "City of"--no other city uses those words to tell people it's a city.
1	The city does not need a "Gateway Feature"!
1	Bad location overall. Limited parking, school, more congestion on that corner. Just an idea. Buy Cibolo Creek Church. They are moving anyway. Plenty of parking. Nice outside space to use. Safer fir residents if rent to public etc etc. Just seems like a win win

1	The proposed feature on the Parkway at I10 should be cut in half. Too much going on. The other entrance on the Parkway at 3351 should be cleaned up and consistent with the other end. It has looked like the alleyway long enough. Small features could be placed at all the other entrances. Maybe a fence and small sign. Like two stone posts, fence in between with FOR sign on it. Hwy 3351 carries a lot of traffic and the fencing between Dietz Elkhorn and the creek should be uniform.
1	The only one I like is the Fair Oaks Parkway location.
1	Who are you trying to impress? Too expensive.
1	We do not need any of them. They a distraction and we have enough distractions as it is with cell phones, wildlife, golf carts, etc
1	Gateways are a waste of taxpayers money. What is the ROI on a gateway? There are other projects that take priority like roads, improving water resources , storm water drainage, parks, athletic fields and public safety. Several residents that will pay for the gateway will rarely see it because their commutes donâ€™t utilize the Parkway. We should prioritize projects that benefit the greater good more tangibly.
1	Too much going on at this location. Gateway feature adds nothing.

1	The gateway feature is not reflective of the city (doesn't highlight the hill country oaks and rolling hills, but uses stone and metal in a generic design. There is no connection to the leaves and design on the bridge leading into the city. The images depict an East Texas scene with flat features and heavily wooded trees, and not the City. The alternate locations don't lend themselves to an appropriately sized feature since there is no median. Are any of those costs provided/included?
1	City of FOR does NOT need a Gateway Feature to represent the identity of our city!! The identity of our city comes from within - a city government that is frugal, transparent & responsive to residents' needs, excellent police/fire services, well-maintained infrastructure & residents who take care of their properties and their neighbors. Rather than Gateway would like the city to beautify city maintained areas (landscaping, etc.) for enjoyment of all residents.
1	Would like to see Gateway at both ends of Fair Oaks Parkway only--at I-10 and at Ralph Fair Rd. More than that it is way overdone.
1	Don't see what value they add to the City.
1	Why is it that someone thinks we need these features? Who are "we" trying to impress? What purpose, other than to say "hey, look at us"?
1	Why does FOR need gateways to the city? Do we have a large amount of surplus funds in the city budget? It seems awfully wasteful of city resources.

1	Pillars break down things like this are car magnets. Lots of maintenance costs
1	It seems the only reason this location was chosen is because it is visible from I10.
1	Now this is REALLY DUMB. How soon before the delinquents that roam our town at night breaking into cars, are carrying a few extra cans of spray paint for those stupid animal replicas? Stop.
1	I don't think gateway features are necessary. They are expensive to install, maintain and repair.
1	We don't need a gateway at every entry into the city. I believe one at Fair Oaks Parkway and I-10 is enough
1	Too many gateways

1	None, we don't need.
1	This is an extravagance that taxpayers should not be required to fund.
1	None spending too much
1	Only one is needed
1	I think more than one is a waste of money
1	Waste of taxpayer money and not a necessary expense

1	Feel like all entrances to the neighborhood are important and should be repreaented
2	Something should be out on the IH10 frontage road announcing where FOR is
2	I think should be on fair oaks parkway
2	Only the eastern Dietz Elkhorn location seems to be a city gateway to me.
2	Absolutely unnecessary! But makes more sense than an unnecessary, over budget, civic center/pet project. What we REALLY need is a Fire Department and a handle on the, obviously, out of control police department!!
2	Fair Oaks really needs more water storage built to be able to be able to provide water to all the new homes without being on water restrictions all the time and not able to water plants and lawns

2	I don't understand what the purpose of this project is. There is no single "entrance" to fair oaks ranch, so where is this going to go? Are we going to build more of them? Please no, save our money!
2	The feature as conceived is not visible from I-10 and will not be an asset to add interest or growth to the city. It is well thought out, but I have serious doubts about the proposed location.
2	Why do we need it?
2	don't need them
2	Front entrance great , Dietz and Ralph ok but not Amman and not the other Dietz or Rolling Acres
2	Hard to comment on this one, as we don't know how much property would be available. The version at the Fair Oaks Parkway entrance speaks to me, as its a complete picture.

2	Unnecessary outlay of money
2	Don't really see the need for a gateway. Use the funds for something more important, i.e. improving/reducing cost of our H2O/sewer, or perhaps the community center.
2	I think it should be on Ralph Fair not near IH10 because there are already signs for Fair Oaks and too many businesses signs it will not stand out.
2	We don't need the features at all the entrances, waste of money
2	Entrance at I10 was discussed. Like the sign, but don't like what the TXDT designed as the offramp. One big cement slab with PVC cones on top, no plant material. Looks like trash.
3	Ralph fair preferred

3	Location @ Fair Oaks Pkwy & I-10 is ideal & aesthetically more appealing. Avoid Dietz Elkhorn & Ralph Fair Road. Too congested and high traffic.
3	Not sure if the proposed primary location is the most visible and traveled entry into our city. Gateway should be the most public visible identity of a city. Also, our gateway appears basic. Do we have a more identifiable feature to display (crest, symbol, icon, etc)? We may have missed it, but were residents invited to submit ideas and illustrations?
3	Only like the "preferred" [IH10] location. A bit fancy for secondary entrances. (Have never liked the welcome to FOR sign at the Parkway & DE. You've already been in FOR for a couple of miles. Ridiculous)
3	Sign itself is nice. Maybe more than we need but nice. Not sure i would want the cows and all the fences/posts. Given this gateway needs represent tge city image well into the future maybe a little too rustic with all the extra fences and cows.
3	Fair Oaks parkway makes sense, however many residents rarely use that entrance, and come into the city off of 3351. It would be nice for the optional locations to have updated signage as well.
3	Dont like too much "June" in the median and shoulders "business" Difficult to maintain.

3	It looks like something youâ€™d see at the Rim or any of the â€œranchâ€ type subdivisions on the northwest of San Antonio.
3	Gateway is set too far off IH10. It cannot be seen from the frontage road. There should be some sort of signage near IH10.
3	Only @ RF next to pop sign. Ammenn RF & S. of Ammenn
3	Canâ€™t zoom in
3	dietz and ralph fair road is not an entrance. If you put one there you will need to put one at Pimlico, meadow creek, keeneland, and other streets off of ralph fair.
3	Put in one piece next to community center

3	Not sure I understand locations? I like Dietz Elcorn off of Ralph Fair and preferred location off of 10.
4	Where is the yellow dot below Ammann Road on 3351? I'm glad you're putting something of significance at each of our entry points to the City.
4	It gives the impression that the retail before the gateway is not part of Fair Oaks Ranch. Remember the old gateway, and you could notice it for afar.
4	Should be two. One at IH 10 and the Parkway and the other at Ralph Fair Road and the Parkway.
4	There is way too much going on for such a small space. It all looks ridiculous. Just do the arch and nothing else. And maybe the 2 side pillars with ranch fencing on the other entrances.
4	Would like to see other possibilities for gateway. Maybe a unused arch design.

4	The design is cheap and hokie. We are ruts but we are not cheap and this feels like a trailer park entry.
4	would like something on Keeneland
4	The starred location makes sense as the primary, I am not sure about the others....
4	It would be nice to have one turning from Ralph Fair to Pimlico. The feature is to small. Fair Oaks is a huge area. The housing neighborhoods that surround Fair Oaks have larger more majestic entrances. This one is better than nothing though.
4	Don't make it too busy with the extra fencing along the parkway. The fencing with the arch is sufficient.
4	N/a

4	I am concerned that the main gateway location does not have a road presence from I10€the original Fair Oaks Tower had a nice presence.
4	I can't enlarge the map to see the legend, but I assume it's current vs future locations. I'm unsure that we need as many locations as indicated on the map (and not two so close together, as shown in the NE corner of the map). I think 2-3 at the most active ingress/egress points would suffice.
5	No animals - keep it simple and classic.
5	It should absolutely be at the main entrance to Fair Oaks Ranch, i.e., the parkway and i-10. It would be nice to have smaller, but still signage with similar branding at all locations noted.
5	no
5	The primary location is ideal since it is off the main interstate onto a road with a shared name as the city. The backup optional locations would be a waste of resources. Individuals coming to our city from those roadways are well aware that their path will lead them into FOR.

5	There is only one located that makes any sense and that is what is marked the preferred location.Fair Oaks Parkway at I-10 That has and will always be the Main Entrance to Fair Oaks Ranch. The City lost its entrance when the original entrance feature was removed. This is a terrific improvement and needed replacement.
5	You should ask an open-ended question about the design elements. The fence elements are made to look too clunky. They don't look like fence components and undoubtedly have confused people. They are reminiscent of cemetery monuments. Each fence post should not be a monument. Please reduce their size. I suspect that you will get a lot of negative comments about them, but had they been presented as something smaller and in scale, the comments might be mostly positive.
5	Preferred location is best.
5	Good location, away from CVS and Frost Bank traffic chaos.
	Poor. Not necessary.
	I was not able to see the location that was choosen. Maybe you can provide a clearer explanation of where exactly it will be installed. In addition I wasn't able to see exactly where the lighting would be placed.

	The map is too small to read what the circles are.
	I can't tell if I need to see the mock up- offhand it looks to be pretty 'busy'. we are a city, not a subdivision.
	do we really need that many?
	I can't tell where the location is....is it the 'star' on the map??? I can't read the legend. If you're proposing gateway arches on Fair Oaks Ranch Road at I10 and one on Keenland and Ralph Fair, that's great!
	waste of money don't need it



Open Ended Responses

Question 20

20. How do you believe Gateway Feature will impact the community?

I love it. I miss the old sign at I-10 and the parkway

Nice to have something announcing the entrance to the city.

Certainly will dress up entrance

None

Negatively

First impressions make a huge difference in life and in design! Do it right. Must have cows and horses, period! First impression of this was spot on! Wow. Perfect for FOR. But must have cow and horse.

Offers a welcoming feel to the city

It will no doubt increase civic and community pride and perhaps lead to an NFL franchise.

Adds to the charm and symbolizes the identity of the city.

Not at all!!

Not at all. Just an unnecessary expense that must be maintained. Lived here 30 years+ without needing this feature.

It will define our City's history. Please do not make the animals into silhouettes. I do like this arch. I do like the amount of stone designed into the scene. The fencing is fine but the material needs to be weather resistant so it will look nice all year. Please add a budget item for repairs.

Will distinguish our city from being part of Boerne or the unincorporated outskirts of San Antonio. Clearly states our identity.

Do not build this

Does not give off the spirit of the City, does not blend in well with the landscape.

No significant positive impact.

Takes tax money away from needed infrastructure improvements.

It will demonstrate a welcome to visitors and a sense of pride in our community.

Source of pride and a reference point for visitors.

Very welcoming and shows that there is a Fair Oaks Ranch.

Help with sense of place.

Useless.

None of the above!

We are no longer a ranch. No to cows & horses.

Will showcase the community and should be well lit for easy access.

Hopefully in a positive way

Little impact

Distracting and hazardous esp for deer jumping, etc

Fair Oaks really needs more water storage built to be able to be able to provide water to all the new homes without being on water restrictions all the time and not able to water plants and lawns

The Arch and Split Rail Fencing, coupled with the Stone Columns, are perfect for Fair Oaks Ranch. All three are needed and provide a clean/elegant Gateway entrance. Also recommend adding the Historical Marker to emphasize our working ranch history.

The animal features should NOT be included. Possible graffti or mischief targets and unnecessary distractions.

Provides city identity of the city and our residents.

It will formalize our presence as a city to live in.

It tells visitors that we are our own city!! Not Boerne!

Positive image for the city

It is a nice to have but not a necessity.

Not at all.

Needs to identify the City at the I-10 front entrance. people will see it and may remember us.

Should help with identifying the community but where's the gate guard? Don't need any gateway arches for FOR

It could be distinctive

Good 1st impression on visitors.

I like having an entrance to our city. It puts us on par with other smaller cities. I miss having and seeing the vintage signage that was at our city before the i10 construction.

defines boundaries

Not much.

Not at all. I think it is unnecessary and a waste of resources.

Aesthetically pleasing. Might be nice to also highlight our speed limits, as those are totally ignored by visitors and residents alikeðŸ˜ƒ

Not sure-maybe pride that we finally have a sign again!

This design cheapens the community. Imagine this being put in River Oaks Houston or another prominent neighborhood. It looks junky, this isn't Lupe Tortilla simple and classy should be the goal. Would rather spend money on higher end street signs and entry cameras

Makes the community more visible and welcoming

It simply tells people where they are.

Give us identity and pride

This project seems like a waste of money.

Negatively. It is pretentious, ego driven, obnoxious,

favorably, but can do without the cows and horses. Seems "gimicky"

It will look nice and have hefty bill.

It looks nice, people will smile when they see it.

Got to have an entrance to the city . . . I have lived in other small cities, and it is a very nice way to welcome folks. Those places even added flags for US, State and then the city flag. A nice touch.

Not sure I am too excited about the gateway feature. The arch is okay, but really don't like the cows and horses. It seems gimmicky. Doesn't seem to add anything of value.

Why not a deer like a buck or possibly a windmill. With a historical marker.

Not as proposed

This is not about the gateway feature. We have been residents for 27 years and deplored the entrance we use at 3351 and the parkway all this time. The fencing between Dietz and the creek should be uniform. There are already stone-like fences that could be added to complete that job. The dilapidated fence at the corner should not be allowed. And the small entrance stones and wooden fences proposed should be there also. The lovely new entrance right across the street looks great.

let's people know they've arrived

Add deer to the Gateway Feature. People are much more aware of them than cattle.

It will help to identify the area and display our pride in this great community.

A good marker to designate our City.

It is nice and better than what we have now, for sure.approval will all depend on the cost. How much will it cost and how r WE going to pay for this?

I believe it will set the communityâ€™s mission statement in stone for the next several generations - both figuratively and literally. I feel like it needs to accurately represent the average FOR citizen.

The entrance has a nice clean look that is welcoming. Please do not spoil it with a metal cow!!!

The Arch would bring a beautiful entrance into our community which would be very nice to come home to.

Well, it would give FOR an identity, we have none right now.

Will be a "nice to have" but doubt it will impact the community in any way unless other gateways are installed at the other entry locations to the Ranch.

It wonâ€™t

Represents, Texas Pride!

Just another one-ups man for the mayor and council. Serves no practical purpose.

Negatively and a waste of tax payers dollars

The gateway feature will let non-Fair Oaks Ranch residence know that FOR is a legitimate city not merely a neighborhood.

It will be an unnecessary expense and divert resources from more useful project budgets.

The top arch needs to be bigger. I like the 2 columns.
Hopefully it will make is proud.

negatively
it adds nothing but clutter
it is ostentatious and says come on it and rob us

It will add to the class of community that already exists

Negatively

Identity and pride.

Help to define our area.

It will show the status of our wonderful community. People are proud to live here lets show we are proud of our town!

Cows look horrible. They will be constantly stolen!
All we need is a sign (arch is fine)

I believe the addition of the animal figures looks cartoonish.

It drignates FOR as a community/city and is a boundary.

Higher taxes.

I believe it will add community pride.

Provides a source of community identity and pride (if properly maintained.

Not really

show case FOR

A nice entrance

I think that it should be well done to provide strong appeal and identity for our community.

A gateway will positively impact the community. It will increase property values and the astetics. All of the nice communities have gateways. There is no signage for Fair Oaks looking from IH 10. It will help visitors find the neighborhood and provide a classy look upon entering. It will also help define where Fair Oaks boundaries are.o

Will add prominence and will stand out as a community

A well designed feature would reflect the aesthetic and character of the City. A design that has been proposed with horses and cows and mock fences will come across as pretentious and kitschy. Rather than being a proud and timeless reflection of the City, it will leave the impression of copy catting cities in central Texas (Austin, Dallas, Fort Worth) without uniqueness to Fair Oaks.

Should be an attractive addition for people to enjoy when entering our community-especially for the first time.

A wonderful sense of identity.

Residents enjoy living in FOR or they wouldn't be here. Have heard residents complain about non-residents using our parks, etc. Believe residents prefer that our city be a destination for visits by family & friends. A Gateway Feature would just bring more attention to our city & bring more non-residents through it. DC has been "advertising" our country for the past 3+ years and it's not going well. We do not need to "advertise" our city.

It will give it a sense of place.

It will define where FOR begins.

Hopefully positive

Identity.

prefer the entrance to FOR just like it is.

I dont

Give us some identity

The fence pillars are too big. Make them smaller.

It will distinguish fair oaks from other communities

Won't matter, waste of money

Probably not at all.

Provides a sense of community pride

For residents who have the misfortune of having dementia, it will reassure them that they are in their home community.

Hard to say- you can't please everybody
Personally I'd like to something fairly simple with gravitas.

I think it will beautify the entries and our residents will be even more proud to live in such a great city.

I think it will help make us proud that we live in FOR.

It will do nothing positive or useful. Waste of money. Again, who are we trying to impress?

No, But we could better spend money on road maintenance.

It would be a welcoming entrance to the city

Not at all

No one cares. It's an opportunity for politicians to be able to say, "look what I did!" Who cares?

Makes it more obvious that the city didn't accidentally pop up.

Seems contradictory to welcome folks into a city that you try to keep out

The ONLY impact to the community will be that residents' taxes will pay for this. It does NOTHING to benefit or serve the residents. It doesn't represent community identity because no one even knows (much less agrees on) what that means. Spending time on this is vanity.

Now this is REALLY DUMB. How soon before the delinquents that roam our town at night breaking into cars, are carrying a few extra cans of spray paint for those stupid animal replicas? Stop.

Minimal impact, use the funds elsewhere

Would be a nice feature we do not have today.

added expense for the citizens and a definite shift away from our original identity

The cows and horses seem to be one thing. I find it difficult to answer the above questions because one either likes both or dislikes both.

Defines our home towns culture and history.

No impact.

Pride in community. Branding. Exclusivity.

The sign defines entrance into our beautiful city.

It will give identity to our City and a feeling of living on the Ranch.

Needed to preserve the identity of the community.

I think it would be a nice entrance to the city, but I believe it will have very little impact on the community. I don't see the gateway attracting more businesses nor do I see it creating more pride in the city.

It will add character to the city.

Not sure it will have any effect.

Gives some identity to the area

Provide identity.

Positively

Creates a peaceful transition from I-10 to the Ranch.

None, we don't need.

Community pride. It also serves as a greeting to our home.

Longhorns

It will show the original Fair Ranch features and indicates our connection to the Fair family.

Enhances the feel of being out of the "city".

None spending too much

Very little

Help create a visual identity and sense of welcome to visitors. .

No impact at all. Not a major improvement to our community. Trying to add a gateway feature now looks like an afterthought. It should have been incorporated when Fair Oaks Ranch was originally designed. The current median does not lend itself to a gateway feature.

Not much

Not very much at all

Not much.

It reflects the origins of the community, and hopefully makes a statement that we wish to maintain that original "feel" and culture.
I also believe it shows the community is not just an aging or dilapidated relic, but both well-maintained & keeping up with current times.

Little to no impact. But they look great.

Waste of taxpayer money and not a necessary expense

waste of money

It will be a nice feature to welcome people to FOR.

Create identity for residents and guests

Will be a recognition point for entering community which is why I like Dietz Elkon since City Hall, Homeowners , etc are there.

No opinion



Open Ended Responses

Question 21

21. Do you have any additional comments or suggestions regarding the Gateway Feature that you would like to share?

How is this being paid for?

Our tax dollars could be spent in a better way. Improving streets, adding more law enforcement and training.

A thought - around it maybe consider high quality long lasting artificial grass vs regular grass. It will have a lot of people on it and foot traffic. Do it right and minimize non stop maintenance. It does cost, it pays!!

Just need entrance signage and arch

Forget it!

I think the arch, the historical marker, and good lighting would be the most elegant approach. The cows/horses concept and the fences make everything too busy, and detract from the intent of the gateway feature.

Donâ€™t waste our tax money!!!!

Reject!!!!

Please make sure whatever is designed is easy to maintain and it looks good all the time-power washing when it gets dirty and if there are any plants, they are taken care of on a regular basis. To make the Parkway look nice, the ball moss needs to be taken out of the trees and the trees need to be trimmed on a regular schedule. Thank you for allowing the citizens input.

Do not build this

Looks too artificial, needs more classy look representing the Ranch entrance.

I think the lack of growth control that has been allowed has long since eliminated any opportunity to maintain the feel of a small rural community that was the original attraction to Fair Oaks Ranch. Spending money on some gateway is not going undue the damage.

FOR does not want or need an ugly gateway feature such as presented.

Please, NO ATIFICIAL ANIMALS! We have plenty of real ones.

Place an entry arch only at a couple of the major entry points to the city, not everywhere. Smaller welcome signs or monuments can be placed at other entry points.

The sooner the better. Great community volunteer spirit exhibited.

Its so so--definitely much to improve.

Consolidate the whole thing with community center with smaller feature at locations where city limit signs are.

Cows and horses will be grafitti targets.
Archway fits community, much needed.

One of the things Iâ€™ve always loved about Fair Oaks Ranch is the ability to see the stars at night. Whatever lighting is necessary must be dark sky compliant.

It's a total waste of taxpayer money and adds zero value to the neighborhood. People choose to move to FOR because of wide streets, mature trees, Elegant custom homes, and friendly neighbors. We don't need a bunch of Chamber of Commerce glitz because it adds nothing.

Some how ??â€¦you folks have already painted the overpasses and besides, everyone already knows where they are!! How about concentrating on roads and drainage. Better yetâ€¦get your police department under control! You may need these funds to settle pending litigation. While your at it, why not create a REAL fire department! That would seem, to me, a much bigger need than a civic center, or whatever you want to call it.

NA

I do not feel our tax dollars would be well spent in any of this

Fair Oaks really needs more water storage built to be able to be able to provide water to all the new homes without being on water restrictions all the time and not able to water plants and lawns

Leave the back (exit side) of the Gateway Feature blank. (No Wording).

Thank you for improving the beauty/aesthetics of our city.

A question as to the respondentsâ€™ ages wouldâ€™ve been illuminating. This design is antiquated. Something a bit more modern with a nod to the communityâ€™s heritage would be nice. There should be a sense of arrival, just not arrival at a summer camp for troubled teens.

Neutral on the animals. If done right, it might be nice but not unique. It kind of steals the idea from River Crossing on 281.

Keep costs down. Don't over build the area.

Fencing needs to be made of maintenance free materials like vinyl or cedar rails instead of planks. The fencing rails are the one aspect of the gateway that I think will require the most maintenance and could quickly become unsightly if not taken care of. Please consider an alternative to what is in the graphic.

Waste of money with so many locations.

Certainly if the size was 200...a major problem. Even 100 is large. We shouldn't rely on the school parking. It is a long ways away. If FORHA uses the facility, then current FORHA building which is owned by the City could be torn down for parking.

Reducing building size and capacity gives a smaller footprint for parking.

Don't think we need gateway arches, either!

Arch wording should be City of Fair Oaks Ranch <-- emphasis back lighting. Save the money to be spent on "non-arch" items and install a automatic gate on Noble Lark Drive and Dietz. Either timer or NLD, Kalkallo Resident controlled.

Fake wooden cows and/or horses do not represent the vibrant, comfortable, upscale community that is Fair Oaks Ranch. Who ever designed the concept to include fake wooden horses and cows does not know the brand of our community. It's overly cliché and even a bit embarrassing. Please do not go with this design.

If we do this, the city needs to plan to maintain it. That is often the problem. Amazing things are built but then they are not maintained, e.g., the old signage, filled with weeds and significantly rotted. If we're going to spend the money to do this, which I believe we should, then we need to make sure budget is set aside to maintain the green grass, etc., indicated in the mock ups.

no

NO ANIMALS of any kind. Just arch and maybe fencing.

Waste of taxpayer dollars

I don't think it should be done.

If we get the money through a grant, I'm all for it. If not, donations. Otherwise don't do it.

Please just keep it all SIMPLE!

Forget the cattle! New resident don't know the hereford history. All they see is horses.

Not very classy

Unsure of what the lighting looks like but assume they are subtle, which is good because they should not be too outright or be large fixtures.

Gateway feature project is a complete waste of money. Putting a historical marker in the middle of the right of way where it can't be read makes zero sense.

A committee composed of unknown citizens meeting in private has come up with exactly the kind of ideas one would expect.

Don't like anything about it.

I have no idea why we are spending tax dollars on this. I don't recall being given the option to vote on it. Please do better at communicating with citizens about these projects and letting us have input even if we don't have time to attend meetings.

I could think of much better ways to spend our money, like bathrooms in the parks, etc. Something that would actually be useful rather than just something to look at. Thank you for sending out this survey to solicit feedback.

How about leveling the south side of the parkway in front of the bank just as you turn into the parkway? The tilted add on roadway looks and feels terrible.

Spend the capital and future maintenance on a worthwhile low or no maintenance project.

Any feature must include lighting. An Arch of reasonable scale for the space would also be a quality addition to the gateway feature. A fence is not a good option or use of city funds. Silhouettes of cows and horses in the area at night would cause more harm than good. Also it is not visually pleasing and there is not enough space for that in the primary location at Fair Oaks Parkway.

Please save the money until all roads are repaved.

What about flags (US, State and City)?

Looking forward to it.

Include everyone. Not just special interests.

The arch and fences are nice but don't overdo. Put small stones and a fence with logo at all other entrances. Acknowledge #3351 and the parkway as an entrance. Joe and Marjorie Dishron

Add deer to the Gateway Feature. People are much more aware of them than cattle.

would like to see some more plantings around the structures of the archways. To soften the look.

Keep it simple like the drawing...we just need an arch like a real Texas ranch entrance. but why have additional stone pillars and rails. They don't do anything and will need maintenance and repair. Keep the cost down.

I talked to Scott about this briefly - but I moved to FOR because it is a community of remarkably intelligent people with one of the best school systems in Texas. I would really have liked to see something that reflects that in a bold way rather than the typical ranch theme seen in Abundance scattered around SA. I just haven't met anyone my age (35) that claims that they moved here to live on a ranch, we all seem to agree that we moved here to be amongst likeminded intelligent people.

J. Swarek

I think there should be lighting at the archway. However, it should be subtle so as not to interfere with the night sky.

Good lighting is a must.

Would like to know how this is going to be paid for and what the tax implications will be.

Plantings and drip system essential! Pristine maintenance is essential! Budget for it!

Why not save the money and give taxpayers a break or better yet, how about a refund??

We do not need to surrender money except on necessities, that includes limiting staff, etc

Please cancel the gateway project.

Needs to have a different arch. I donâ€™t like the font.

chuck it

If this will be a multi-stage project, I'd like the 1st stage to take that into consideration so that the following stages are easier and less expensive to perform.

Quit wasting money.

No over arch or cows - just a low attractive well lit rock sign is what I would propose. The proposed arch seems dated and way too close of a look to the country club. A bit of nod to country club rock and ranch look design is fine but the housing area is now more than that.

Tooooo much

I prefer the higher arch. Hopefully the arch materials will be weather proof or weather resistant.

I like the idea of the cows and horses, but the ones presented look too fake.

The whole thing is way over the top. What about a nice carved stone (like people have for their addresses) that says "Fair Oaks Ranch" at each of the proposed locations? You could get all locations covered for a fraction of the price of the gateway feature with the cows, horses, fencing and lights. Aside from the initial cost of building the feature, there will be the constant repair and maintenance costs every time some street racing kids or drunk driver crashes into the thing and takes off.

Any lighting should support dark sky endeavors.

Don't like it at all. Looks corny. Where are the steers here and why fencing which isn't even closed? Leaves room for lots of jokes about wandering cattle or horses.

The animal features and fences are adorable. The arch is too small. The gateway would be nicer if it extended across the entire roadway extending over the entire entrance covering entrance and exit roadways. Not just in the median.

Can the cows be bronze type statues?

After an extended period of time since the demolition of the old City feature/sign, we have anticipated a nice, and grounded feature that is tasteful, understated, and appropriate sign that reflects the City.

Unfortunately, this design misses the mark.

Additionally, the astronomical costs associated with this feature are truly eye-popping and unjustified.

Please reconsider the fundamental concept and more importantly the baseline costs to be fiscally responsible with a resident-funded budget.

Continue the good work.

You have no presentation about lighting, so how could it be favorite or least favorite? This questionnaire will lead to misinterpretation. The oversized fence posts may lead to that being the least favorite. If they were more in scale, they would not be disliked. You have not shown how a "historical marker" would fit it, so people may like the words "historical marker" without realizing how difficult it would be to stop, get out, and read the thing.

Fair Oaks Parkway is designated on the overpass of IH-10. Think making the median on Fair Oaks Pkwy more attractive with landscaping & limestone would be nice for visitors & residents to enjoy. Seems the city government is considering a bond issue to raise funds and will propose increasing property taxes soon. FOR can find better uses of funds than a Gateway Feature (or a Community Center)!

I like it.

No horses either.

Repair Dietz Elkhorn before spending money on anything else

Please, no cows under the arch

It looks great!

Construct arch only! . Keep it simple

designs are for children

Complete the project as a package and do not separate into multi year projects

These improvements are long overdue.

Thanks for including us in the decision process. But I am only interested in paying less taxes and having a safe, clean and quiet community. At my stage in life I am not looking for any government trying to find new ways to spend money.

Lighting needs to be subtle. Keep our skies dark please.

Fake wooden horses are demeaning to the community. We have real horses here.
Adding fake wooden cows and horses is not the answer to project our city's image in a positive way.

The original gates are on Dietz Elkhorn up from the City complex

I would like to see a design that incorporates ceramic tile, that brings in color.

I don't like any of the proposed features. I only voted for the fencing as the "favorite" because it is the least ridiculous feature.

FOR is supposed to be a Dark Skies community, stop with the additioal lighting, we have San Antonio for that.

How much will this cost? If my taxes go up, donâ€™t bother building it. I donâ€™t think most residents really care about a â€œgateway feature.â€

I would prefer seeing the cows and horses in the parks rather than at the entrances.

let's keep it nice, clean and understated in its design, please.

This is a waste of taxpayer money. It bring NOTHING to the area. It is window dressing. It similar to how Boerne rebranded by getting a new city logo. Much ado and much money for nothing. At the end of the day it makes NO impression. Everybody just moved on.

Now this is REALLY DUMB. How soon before the delinquents that roam our town at night breaking into cars, are carrying a few extra cans of spray paint for those stupid animal replicas? Stop.

The fencing is pretty but will impede deer from crossing freely, possibly causing them to be hit by vehicles

If a gateway feature is unavoidable, the fewer and simpler the better. We're losing our semi-rural identity with something elaborate, in my view. Money better used toward city utility improvements, police department, etc.

If you have the cows and horses you should offer the opportunity for residents to purchase one and have a small plaque on the sculpture with the name and donor.

I like what you have done.

I look forward to seeing the completed project, long over due.

The historical sign and the 2 rock pillars with fencing on each side of the road could be enough.

The signage of FOR should be at every entrance point and not look like a subdivision sign. The Main Gateway Feature should be at the City Hall footprint on Dietz Elkhorn as well as on the Parrkway.

Keep it simple!!!

Iâ€™d suggest installing only one gateway feature for the city.

I like the cows and horses but I think it should have one or the other but not both.

I think gateways are a waste of money.

Donâ€™t make it a spectacle with cows and horses. Ensure easy of maintenance. Keep it classy.

Who will clean up after the horses and cattle?

None, we don't need.

If the community chooses to use the Hereford cows, it should be a small grouping and not a single cow. You don't need the cows at every entrance. Using them at the main entrance would be enough

Looks great. Thanks to committee for all your hard work.

No

None spending too much

Letters need to be back lit. Consider solar power with battery back up.
Anticipate holiday lighting needs.
Consider attached signage for Purple Heart City and Rotary Club.

The artificial cows and horses would be an embarrassment and likely targets of graffiti and worse

a longhorn would better choice. Cost should be a major factor.

While I listed lighting as "least favorite", I do like the concept. I was only thinking of ongoing cost & maintenance. If the lighting was solar-powered, I think that would be the most responsible option, in that regard.

Waste of taxpayer money and not a necessary expense

The design, as shown, has too many features and it is too busy with all of the fencing, posts, animals, etc. The animals look almost like stick figures since they are made of flat pieces of steel. I suggest just having the arch (perhaps making it more bold than shown) and include historical markers, but eliminate the fencing and animals.

Cow looked like a dog